

Körperschaft des öffentlichen Rechts

WIRTSCHAFTSPRÜFERKAMMER . Postfach 30 18 82 . 10746 Berlin

By e-mail: jamesgunn@ifac.org,

Mr James Gunn Technical Director International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board International Federation of Accountants 529 Fifth Avenue, 6th Floor New York, NY 10017 USA

Geschäftsführung

Rauchstraße 26 10787 Berlin www.wpk.de

March, 15, 2013 Contact: **WP Heiko Spang +49 30 726 161 112** INT/IAASB/947

- please always indicate -

IAASB Invitation to Comment
Consultation Paper: A Framework for Audit Quality

Dear Mr. Gunn,

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen,

We are pleased to take this opportunity to participate in the above-mentioned invitation to comment on the IAASB Consultation Paper: A Framework for Audit Quality.

We agree with the IAASB that a Framework for Audit Quality may raise the awareness of the key elements of audit quality, encourage key stakeholders to explore ways to improve audit quality and thereby facilitate a greater dialogue between all relevant stakeholders on this topic.

From our point of view, the IAASB's draft of the audit quality framework does meet these objectives only partially. This is due to the following considerations:

No concentration on key elements:

The draft framework lists a variety of potential factors on audit quality and does not – from our perspective – focus on the key elements of audit quality (as was intended). Some of the factors listed are repetitive and therefore redundant (e.g. 1.7.5. *There is appropriate audit documentation* and 1.8.4. *The methodology requires appropriate audit documentation*). Some of the listed factors are a reproduction from the IESBA Code of Ethics

Geschäftsführer: RA Peter Maxl Telefon 0 30/72 61 71-110 Telefax 0 30/72 61 61-104 E-Mail: peter.maxl@wpk.de

Dr. Reiner Veidt Telefon 0 30/72 61 61-100 Telefax 0 30/72 61 61-107 E-Mail: reiner.veidt@wpk.de

or from the ISAs. And still other factors are mere banalities (e.g. 1.7.2. *The engagement team makes appropriate use of information technology*).

Too voluminous in combination with an insufficient addressee orientation:

The draft audit quality framework includes explanations on about 60 pages (for comparison, the Framework to the IFRS comprises less than half of this amount). The preparation and presentation of this bulk of information occurs in a less user friendly and appealing way.

No definition of audit quality:

The draft framework does not contain a clear definition of audit quality – which should be the core element of an audit quality framework. Instead the framework lists arguments why it seems difficult to define audit quality.

In order to determine the usefulness and usability of such a framework, it is necessary from our point of view, to have a clear understanding of "audit quality" in an objective sense. Even though we recognise that this understanding may differ in part from the individual perspective of different stakeholder groups.

We hope that our remarks will be taken into consideration in the subsequent course of the proceedings, and we would be delighted to answer any questions you may have.

Kind regards

RA Peter Maxl
Executive Director

RA Dr. Volker Schnepel
Head of professional law, accounting & auditing